

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Offic** 

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR DERG 2462/C

MM92/1017

JONATHAN M WALDMAN ESQ WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE 46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA PA 19103

MCUNCAL EXAMINER	
MUNTENT	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 10/17/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## **Advisory Action**

-A3 ...

Application No. 09/460,007

Applicant(s)

Harper, Jr

Examiner

Truc Nguyen

Group Art Unit 2833



THE	E PE	RIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) (X	O was a state of the Continuous	
	p) [	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	
	date d deterr calcul	Attension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The in which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of inining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
	Appe perio	ellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any downward for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Ap <sub>l</sub>	olica : is N	nt's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Oct 4, 2000</u> has been considered with the following eff_ct, OT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	
X		proposed amendment(s):	
		will not be entered because:	
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	Ν	OTE: the language added in claims would required further search.	
		Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Nev sep	vly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a arate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
		affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because:	
	Exa	affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the miner in the final rejection.	
X	For	purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
		ms allowed:	
	Cla	ims objected to:	
	Cla	ms rejected: <u>1, 3-8, and 10-21</u>	
	The	proposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.	
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).		
	Oth	20 la 1. 00	
لـــا	Jul	i Grandstag	
		en de la composition de la composition La composition de la	